

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****FEB 13 2006****FAX TRANSMISSION****DATE:** February 13, 2006**PTO IDENTIFIER:** Application Number 10/621,629-Conf. #1873
Patent Number**Inventor:** Joseph T. O'Brien et al.**MESSAGE TO:** US Patent and Trademark Office**FAX NUMBER:** (571) 273-8300**FROM:** RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
Glenn E. Forbis**PHONE:** (248) 594-0636**Attorney Dkt. #:** 65783-0029**PAGES (Including Cover Sheet):** 29**CONTENTS:** Appeal Brief Transmittal (2 pages)
Appeal Brief (25 pages)
Charge \$500.00 to deposit account 18-0013
Certificate of Transmission (1 page)

If your receipt of this transmission is in error, please notify this firm immediately by collect call to sender at (248) 594-0636 and send the original transmission to us by return mail at the address below.

This transmission is intended for the sole use of the individual and entity to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended addressee or its designated agent is strictly prohibited.

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
39533 Woodward Avenue, Suite 140, Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304
Telephone: (248) 594-0600 Facsimile: (248) 594-0610

PTO/SB/97 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0851-0031

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application No. (if known): 10/621,629

Attorney Docket No.: 65783-0029

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

on February 13, 2006
Date

Diane R. Lytle
Signature

Diane R. Lytle

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Registration Number, if applicable

(248) 594-0600
Telephone Number

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.


Appeal Brief Transmittal (2 pages)
Appeal Brief (25 pages)
Charge \$500.00 to deposit account 18-0013

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****FEB 13 2006**Docket No.: 65783-0029
(PATENT)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile no. (571) 273-8300, on the date shown below.

Dated: February 13, 2006

Signature:


(Diane R. Lytle)**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:
Joseph T. O'Brien et al.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Confirmation No.: 1873

Filed: July 17, 2003

Art Unit: 3679

For: PILLAR SHIELD FOR SECURING A WIRE
HARNESS

Examiner: V. L. MacArthur

APPEAL BRIEF

MS Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This appeal is from the decision of the Examiner dated September 12, 2005 ("Final Office Action"), finally rejecting claims 1-12 and 17-28, which are reproduced in an Appendix to this brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on December 12, 2005. This application was filed on July 17, 2003.

02/14/2006 FHETEK11 00000002 180013 10621629

01 FC:1402 500.00 DA

FEB 13 2006

TRANSMITTAL OF APPEAL BRIEF			Docket No. 65783-0029
In re Application of: Joseph T. O'Brien et al.			
Application No. 10/621,629-Conf. #1873	Filing Date July 17, 2003	Examiner V. L. MacArthur	Group Art Unit 3679
Invention: PILLAR SHIELD FOR SECURING A WIRE HARNESS			

TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS:

Transmitted herewith is the Appeal Brief in this application, with respect to the Notice of Appeal filed: December 12, 2005

The fee for filing this Appeal Brief is \$ 500.00

☒ Large Entity ☐ Small Entity

☐ A petition for extension of time is also enclosed.

The fee for the extension of time is _____

☐ A check in the amount of _____ is enclosed.

☒ Charge the amount of the fee to Deposit Account No. 18-0013
This sheet is submitted in duplicate.

☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 18-0013
This sheet is submitted in duplicate.



Dated: February 13, 2006

Glenn E. Forbis
Attorney Reg. No. : 40,610
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
39533 Woodward Avenue
Suite 140
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304
(248) 594-0636

Appeal Brief Transmittal

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile no. (571) 273-8300, on the date shown below.

Dated: February 13, 2006

Signature: Diane R. Lytle (Diane R. Lytle)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST.....	PAGE 3
RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES.....	PAGE 4
STATUS OF CLAIMS.....	PAGE 5
STATUS OF AMENDMENTS.....	PAGE 6
SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER.....	PAGES 7-10
GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL.....	PAGE 11
ARGUMENT.....	PAGES 12-16
REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC §102.....	PAGES 12-15
REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC §103.....	PAGES 15-16
CONCLUSION.....	PAGE 17
CLAIMS APPENDIX.....	PAGES 18-23
EVIDENCE APPENDIX.....	PAGE 24
RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX.....	PAGE 25

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest for this appeal is Yazaki North America, Inc. having its principal place of business at 6801 Haggerty Road, Canton, Michigan 48187.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Applicants (hereinafter, "Appellants") are not aware of any related appeals or interferences that would affect the Board's decision on the current appeal.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

III. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-12 and 17-29 are pending. Claims 1-12 and 17-28 stand finally rejected. Claims 1-5, 7-12, 17-21 and 23-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Mizusawa (U.S. Patent No. 4,488,206). Claims 6 and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizusawa in view of the Appellants' admitted prior art (Figures 1 and 2). Claim 29 was allowed. Appellants appeal from the final rejection of claims 1-12 and 17-28, which are presented in the Claims Appendix.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

There are no outstanding after-final amendments to the claims.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

A shield, also referred to as a plug, is provided for enclosing an opening within a wall of a hollow pillar and for securing a wire harness running through the pillar. (See Appellants' specification, page 3, paragraph [0008]). The shield includes a base that is generally planar in shape. Alternatively, the shield can be a one-piece monolithic structure comprised of, for example, an elastic polymer. Projecting out from the base are at least two clips or locks that resiliently engage the edge of the opening and secure the shield within the opening. At least one of the locks is located at one end of the shield, while at least one other of the locks is located at the opposite end of the shield. Each of the locks includes a head or end portion that is generally rounded or arcuate in shape, which assists in alignment of the shield. (See Appellants' specification, pages 4 and 5, paragraph [0013]).

The base of the shield further includes two or more tensioners projecting out from the base that resiliently engage the edge of the opening when the shield is inserted into and encloses the opening. At least one tensioner is located along one side of the base or edge of the shield, while at least one other of the tensioners is located along the opposite side of the base. In one embodiment, a first tensioner is located along a first side of the base, while a second tensioner is located along a second side of the base directly opposite from the first tensioner. (See Appellants' specification, page 5, paragraph [0014]).

Also located along each side of the base is a stabilizer that resiliently engages the wall when the shield is secured in the opening. Each stabilizer comprises a pair of protrusions that extend out from the base in a manner such that they tend to "push" the shield away from the wall while any forces they generate that run parallel to the base are cancelled out by one another. (See Appellants' specification, page 6, paragraph [0016]).

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

The shield further includes one or more fasteners for securing a wire harness, whether the wire harness transitions from outside the pillar into the pillar or is fastened completely within the pillar. Two types of fasteners are provided. The first comprises one or more clips that project out from the base and secures a wire harness that is placed down into the clip. Alternatively, a wire harness can be secured to the shield through use of a separate component, such as, for example, a clip or loop that secures the wire harness and then attaches to the shield. (See Appellants' specification, page 6, paragraph [0017]).

As the shield is brought into close proximity to the opening, the end portions of the locks and tensioners come into contact with the flanged edges that define the opening. Due to the end portions being generally rounded or arcuate in shape, the shield tends to slide or move into an initial proper alignment with respect to the opening. (See Appellants' specification, page 7, paragraph [0018]).

As further force is applied to position the shield within the opening, both the locks and tensioners resiliently engage the edge of the opening. At a predetermined depth through the opening, the locks engage the edge such that the shield becomes "locked" into place and cannot be readily withdrawn from the opening. While in this state, each of the resilient locks is compressed up against the edge. As a result of being compressed up against the edge, each of the locks generates a force that tends to push the shield away from the portion of the edge that engages the lock. As there is at least one lock located at each end of the shield, opposing forces are generated. These opposing forces generated by the compressed locks tend to counteract each other, causing the shield to align within the opening along a first axis, e.g., Y-axis, that is generally parallel with the wall. (See Appellants' specification, page 7, paragraph [0019]).

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

Upon resiliently engaging the edge of the opening, the tensioners also become compressed up against the edge. Upon being compressed, each of the tensioners generates a force that tends to push the shield away from the portion of the edge that engages the tensioner. As one or more of the tensioners is placed along both of the two opposing sides of the base, the forces generated by the tensioners tend to counteract each other. As a result of these opposing forces, the shield tends to align within the opening along a second axis, e.g., X-axis, which is also generally parallel with the wall. (See Appellants' specification, page 8, paragraph [0020]).

As the shield is inserted into the opening, the stabilizers resiliently engage a portion of the wall surrounding the opening. The stabilizers subsequently become compressed up against the wall as the shield is locked within the opening. As a result of being compressed, the resilient stabilizers generate an overall force that tends to push the shield away from the wall. However, the shield remains engaged within the opening due to the locks. (See Appellants' specification, page 8, paragraph [0021]).

Through the use of the locks that resiliently engage the edge of the opening, the shield automatically aligns within the opening along a first axis, regardless of variances in the size of the opening due to accepted tolerances in the manufacturing process. Similarly, the shield automatically aligns within the opening along a second axis due to the use of the tensioners that also resiliently engage the edge of the opening. The first and second axes are roughly perpendicular to one another. However, the relationship between the first and second axes is not limited to this example, but instead is dependent only on the general shape of the shield. (See Appellants' specification, page 9, paragraph [0023]).

In contrast to the locks and tensioners, the stabilizers secure the shield along a third axis that lies perpendicular to the first and second axes. The resultant tension created by the

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

stabilizers helps prevent movement of the shield within the opening, thereby further minimizing the chances that the wire harness should detach from the shield, or that the shield generate noise due to "rattling" and the like. (See Appellants' specification, page 9, paragraph [0024]).

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

VI. GROUND OF OBJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

In the Final Office Action, the following rejections were made:

(A) Claims 1-5, 7-12, 17-21 and 23-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Mizusawa (U.S. Patent No. 4,488,206).

(B) Claims 6 and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizusawa in view of the Appellants' admitted prior art (Figures 1 and 2).

Accordingly, the issues presented in this Appeal are:

- 1) Whether claims 1-5, 7-12, 17-21 and 23-28 are patentable over Mizusawa, and
- 2) Whether claims 6 and 22 are patentable over Mizusawa in view of the Appellants' admitted prior art (Figures 1 and 2).

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

VII. ARGUMENT**Issue 1: Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-5, 7-12, 17-21 and 23-28 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,488,206 to Mizusawa. "A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 2 U.S.P.Q.2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). See M.P.E.P. § 2131. As set forth below, Mizusawa does not teach or suggest each and every element of claims 1-5, 7-12, 17-21, and 23-28. Accordingly, Appellants respectfully request the Board to reverse the rejection of these claims.

A. Mizusawa fails to Teach or Suggest Each and Every Limitation of Independent Claims 1, 17, 25, and 27.

Claims 1 and 17

Independent claim 1 is directed to a plug that inserts into an opening in the wall of a hollow post. The plug encloses the opening and secures a wire harness that runs within the hollow post. The plug includes at least two locks projecting from the surface of the plug. The locks resiliently engage an edge of the opening and align the plug along a first axis. The plug further includes at least two tensioners, and at least one stabilizer projecting from the surface of the plug. The tensioners resiliently engage an edge of the opening and align the plug along a second axis. The stabilizer resiliently engages the wall of the hollow post "exerting tension within the plug along a third axis." Mizusawa does not teach or suggest a stabilizer that exerts tension along a third axis, as required by claim 1.

Similarly, independent claim 17 is directed to a pillar shield for securing a wire harness running within a pillar. The pillar shield includes at least two clips, at least two tensioners, and at least two stabilizers, each projecting out from the body of the pillar shield. The clips align the

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

pillar shield within the opening of the pillar along a first axis. The tensioners are resiliently compressed by an edge of the opening aligning the pillar shield along a second axis. The stabilizers are resiliently compressed by the wall of the pillar "generating tension, directed along a third axis, between said pillar shield and the wall of the pillar." Like claim 1, Mizusawa does not teach or suggest a stabilizer that generates tension directed along a third axis, as required by claim 17.

Rather, Mizusawa discloses a fixing structure for securing a lamp housing to a body panel of a vehicle. The lamp housing is defined by a lamp case having a flange that extends outwardly from the edge of the lamp case. The flange is designed to engage the body panel at the periphery edge of the opening. (See Mizusawa, col. 3, lines 53-58) The lamp housing further includes positioning ribs that protrude from the side surfaces of the lamp housing. (*Id.* at col. 4 lines 32-56). Affixed between these ribs are plastic fastener members that engage the opening of the vehicle body panel to secure the lamp housing. The fasteners protrude slightly above the ribs to engage the edge of the body panel. (*Id.* at col. 4, lines 57- col. 5 line 16). When the lamp housing is inserted into the body panel opening, a seal packing (resembling a gasket) is provided between the flange of the lamp housing and the body panel. The Examiner alleges (See Final Office Action, pages 2 and 5) that the seal packing is the "stabilizer" of claims 1 and 17. Thus, according to the Examiner, the seal packing provided between the body panel and the lamp housing of Mizusawa teaches both a stabilizer for "exerting tension within the plug along a third axis," as required by claim 1, and a stabilizer for "generating tension, directed along a third axis," as required by claim 17. Appellants respectfully disagree. As explained by Mizusawa,

...the resilient pieces 17 of the fastener members 14 which protrude from the ribs 20 engage the edge of the insertion hole 6.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

Then, they are pushed inwardly by the edge as they slip past the edge of the hole. About the time that the seal packing 12 is nipped with moderate pressure between the flange 4 and the body panel 5, the resilient pieces 17 slide past the rear side of the edge of the insertion hole and regain their original shape, causing the engaging faces 18 thereof to come into fast engagement with the rear side of the edge of the insertion hole. (See Mizusawa, col. 5, line 64 – col. 6, line 7).

In other words, it is the fastener members that provide the moderate pressure to “nip” the seal packing, not the seal packing itself, as alleged by the Examiner. (See Final Office Action, Response to Arguments, page 11). Indeed, the seal packing is wholly incapable of exerting or generating a tension along a third axis, as is required by claims 1 and 17. Moreover, there is simply no teaching or suggestion in Mizusawa that the seal packing exerts or generates a tension along a third axis. At most, Mizusawa teaches that the seal packing provides some level of sealing between the lamp housing and the body panel. Therefore, for at least this reason, claims 1 and 17 are not anticipated by the Mizusawa patent and are in condition for allowance. Similarly, dependent claims 2-12 and 18-24 are also in condition for allowance, for at least the same reason. Accordingly, Appellants request the Board to reverse the rejection of these claims.

Claims 17, 25, and 27

Independent claims 17, 25, and 27 are directed to a pillar shield for securing a wire harness running within a pillar. Each of these claims recites a shield having “a generally planar shaped body” designed to close the opening in the wall of the pillar. The lamp housing disclosed in Mizusawa does not have a generally planar shaped body, as required by claims 17, 25, and 27.

It is well settled that to anticipate a claim, the reference must teach every element of the claim. “A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference.” *Verdegaal Bros. v.*

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). Further, the identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the claim. *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989), *Emphasis Added*.

As discussed above, Mizusawa discloses a fixing structure for securing a lamp housing to a body panel of a vehicle. The lamp housing includes a lamp case shaped like a box to encase a lamp. (See Mizusawa, col.1, lines 25-28). Further, Mizusawa describes its fixing structure as being "applicable to any lamp housing 1 on the condition that the housing is provided with such flanges 4 as mentioned above and also with a lamp case 2." In other words, Mizusawa has limited the lamp housing to a non-planar, box-like structure so that it is capable of encasing a lamp. Although Appellants concede that individual surfaces of the lamp case may be generally planar, the lamp housing as a whole, which the Examiner alleges is the "generally planar shaped body" of claims 17, 25, and 27, is clearly non-planar. (See Final Office Action, pages 4, 7, and 9). Therefore, the lamp housing of Mizusawa cannot possibly anticipate claims 17, 25, and 27, which require "a generally planar shaped body." Accordingly, independent claims 17, 25, and 27, and their dependent claims 18-24, 26, and 28, are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance. For at least the reasons set forth above, Appellants respectfully request reversal of the rejections.

Issue 2: Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 6 and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,488,206 to Mizusawa in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (Figures 1 and 2). Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

Claims 6 and 22, which depend from independent claims 1 and 17, respectfully, further define the plug (claim 1) and the pillar shield (claim 22) as a "one-piece monolithic structure." In addition to being patentable as depending on an allowable base claim, claims 6 and 22 are separately patentable because the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness with respect to the Mizasawa patent, and Applicant's Figures 1 and 2. Prima facie obviousness requires a "showing of some objective teaching in the prior art or that knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art would lead that individual to combine the relevant teachings of the references." *In re Fritch*, 972 F.2d 1260, 1265 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, there is no teaching or suggestion in Mizasawa to construct the lamp housing as a one-piece structure. In fact, the Mizasawa patent and Figures 1 and 2 are incompatible. The lamp housing includes a lamp case, which inherently houses a lamp. In fact, as set forth above, Mizasawa limited the lamp housing to structures capable of housing a lamp. Indeed, the lamp housing would be incapable of housing a lamp if it was a one-piece structure. Therefore, for any of these reasons, dependent claims 6 and 22 are in condition for allowance. Appellants respectfully request the Board to reverse these rejections.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029


VIII. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that the final rejections of the pending claims are improper and should not be sustained. Therefore, a reversal of the final rejections of September 12, 2005 is respectfully requested.

It is believed that a fee of \$500.00 is due with this Appeal Brief. Please charge our Deposit Account No. 18-0013, under Order No. 65783-0029, for any fee due with this Appeal Brief. To the extent necessary, a petition for extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 is hereby made, the fee for which should be charged to the above account.

Dated: February 13, 2006

Respectfully submitted,


By
Glenn E. Forbis

Registration No.: 40,610
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
39533 Woodward Avenue, Suite 140
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304
(248) 594-0636
Attorney for Applicant

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

APPENDIX A

A complete listing of the claims that are subject of this Appeal is as follows:

1. A plug inserted into and enclosing an opening within a wall of a hollow post and securing a wire harness running within said hollow post, comprising:
 - at least two locks projecting out from a surface of said plug and securing said plug within the opening, at least one of said locks being located at or near a first end of said plug, and at least one of said locks being located at or near a second end of said plug, said locks resiliently engaging an edge of the opening and aligning said plug within the opening along a first axis;
 - at least two tensioners projecting out from said surface of said plug and resiliently engaging the edge of the opening and aligning said plug within the opening along a second axis, at least one of said tensioners being located at or near a first edge of said plug, and at least one of said resilient tensioners being located at or near a second edge of said plug;
 - at least one stabilizer projecting out from said surface of said plug and resiliently engaging the wall, thereby exerting tension within the plug along a third axis; and
 - at least one fastener for securing the wire harness to said plug.
2. The plug according to claim 1, wherein said first and second axes are approximately perpendicular to one another.
3. The plug according to claim 1, wherein said third axis is perpendicular to said first and second axes.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

4. The plug according to claim 1, wherein said first and second ends of said plug lie opposite to one another, and said first and second edges of said plug lie opposite to one another.
5. The plug according to claim 1, wherein said hollow post is a pillar of an automobile.
6. The plug according to claim 1, wherein said plug is a one-piece monolithic structure.
7. The plug according to claim 1, wherein said at least one stabilizer comprises a pair of resilient protrusions extending out from said surface of said plug.
8. The plug according to claim 1, further comprising at least two stabilizers, with at least one of said stabilizers located near said first edge of said plug, and at least one of said stabilizers located near said second edge of said plug.
9. The plug according to claim 1, wherein said fastener comprises at least one clip that projects out from said surface of said plug and secures the wire harness.
10. The plug according to claim 1, wherein said fastener comprises a tie that wraps around the wire harness and then attaches to said plug.
11. The plug according to claim 1, wherein each of said at least two locks initially engages the edge of the opening with a generally rounded end portion that promotes alignment of said plug respective to the opening.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

12. The plug according to claim 1, wherein each of said at least two tensioners initially engages the edge of the opening with a generally rounded end portion that promotes alignment of said plug respective to the opening.

13. (Canceled)

14. (Canceled)

15. (Canceled)

16. (Canceled)

17. A pillar shield for securing a wire harness running within a pillar, the pillar including a wall having an opening with an edge, the pillar shield comprising:

a generally planar-shaped body designed to close off the opening within the wall of the pillar;

at least two clips projecting out from said body of said pillar shield, each of the at least two clips having resilient locks configured for securing said pillar shield within the opening in the wall and for being compressed by the edge of the opening to align said pillar shield within the opening along a first axis;

at least two tensioners projecting out from said body of said pillar shield, said at least two tensioners each having a portion for being resiliently compressed by the edge of the opening, thereby aligning said pillar shield within the opening along a second axis;

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

at least two stabilizers projecting out from said body of said pillar shield, said at least two stabilizers arranged to be resiliently compressed by the wall of the pillar, thereby directing a force along a third axis tending to push the shield away from the wall; and
at least one fastener for attaching the wire harness to said pillar shield.

18. The pillar shield according to claim 17, wherein said first axis lies approximately ninety degrees from said second axis.

19. The pillar shield according to claim 17, wherein said third axis lies perpendicular to said first and second axes.

20. The pillar shield according to claim 17, wherein at least one of said clips is located at an end of said pillar shield, and at least one of said clips is located at an opposite end of said pillar shield.

21. The pillar shield according to claim 17, wherein at least one of said tensioners is located nearby an edge of said pillar shield, and at least one of said tensioners is located nearby an opposite edge of said pillar shield.

22. The pillar shield according to claim 17, wherein said pillar shield is a one-piece monolithic structure.

23. The pillar shield according to claim 17 wherein said fastener comprises at least one clip projecting out from said body of said pillar shield and securing the wire harness.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

24. The pillar shield according to claim 17, wherein said fastener comprises a tie that wraps around the wire harness and then attaches to said pillar shield.

25. A pillar shield for securing a wire harness running within a pillar, the pillar including a wall having an opening with an edge, the pillar shield comprising:

a generally planar-shaped body designed to close off the opening within the wall of the pillar;

at least two clips projecting out from said body of said pillar shield each of the at least two clips having resilient locks configured for securing said pillar shield within the opening in the wall and for being compressed by the edge of the opening to align said pillar shield within the opening along a first axis;

at least two stabilizers projecting out from said body of said pillar shield, said at least two stabilizers arranged to be resiliently compressed by the wall of the pillar, thereby directing a force along a second axis tending to push the shield away from the wall; and

at least one fastener for attaching the wire harness to said pillar shield.

26. The pillar shield according to claim 25, wherein said first axis lies approximately ninety degrees from said second axis.

27. A pillar shield for securing a wire harness running within a pillar, the pillar including a wall having an opening with an edge, the pillar shield comprising:

a generally planar-shaped body designed to close off the opening within the wall of the pillar;

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

at least two clips projecting out from said body of said pillar shield each of the at least two clips having resilient locks configured for securing said pillar shield within the opening in the wall and for being compressed by the edge of the opening to align said pillar shield within the opening along a first axis;

at least two tensioners projecting out from said body of said pillar shield, said at least two tensioners each having a portion for being resiliently compressed by the edge of the opening, thereby aligning said pillar shield within the opening along a second axis; and

at least one fastener for attaching the wire harness to said pillar shield.

28. The pillar shield according to claim 27, wherein said first axis lies approximately ninety degrees from said second axis.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

X. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

NONE.

Application No.: 10/621,629

Docket No.: 65783-0029

XI. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

NONE.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.